**Workforce in SAIL**

1274. SHRI KRISHNA KUMAR BIRLA-. Will the Minister of STEEL AND MINES be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a large number of workforce in SAIL is surplus;

(b) if so, what percentage of the, existing workforce with the integrated steel, plants in the country (plant-wise) : is surplus and what is the estimated annual expenditure being incurred on the surplus workforce;

(c) what is the yardstick to determine the workforce requied in the steel plants and whether Government have made any review to know how the workforce in the steel plants was recruited during the past three " years making it surplus against the requirement, it what is the result thereof; and

(d) the manner in w:hich the surplus workforce proposed to be- deployed?

THE MINISTER OF STEEL AND MINES (SHRI MAKHAN LAL FOTE-DAR): (a) There is some surplus workforce in SAIL.

(b) In-house studies by the Industrial Engineering Department indicate, a rough estimate of the present surplus workfon and expenditure on it during 1987-88 as:—

| | Percentage surplus' workforce | Expen-of diture in 198.7-S8 |
|---|---|---|
| (Rs. in | | crores) |
| Bhilai Steel Plant | 4 | 10.11 |
| Durgapur Steel Plant | 5 | 6^54 |
| Rourkela-Steel Plant | 2 - | 2.55 |
| Bokaro Steel Plant | 3 ~ | 9.96 |
| nsco 8 | | 8.18 |

(c) ' A preliminary assessment has been done of the worksfcrce required in Steel plants through in-house Industrial Engineering Department's studies. This has been don* with reference to existing assets, but has assumed somej improvement in work practices. Government have , taken up the question of levels of manning in the steel plants and SAIL have, attempted to regulate recruitment in the past three years. During this period the surpluses have in fact been reduced.)

(d) After accounting for recruitments^ the surplus- manpower will $b_c$ retrained and redeployed to new areas of work to meet the requirements of improved technological practices.

**Editing of Interviews**

1275. SHRI ASHWANI KUMAR:
SHRI ATAL BIHARI VAJPAYEE:

Will the Minister of INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to a report published in . Indian Express of 10th June, 1988 to the effect that Lt. General M. Mayadas protested the way in which his views have been presented on the first part of Door-darshan's two-part programme,' on Bofors gun stating that the editing of his 32 minutes interview to 4 minutes was done in such a way that his views on how the four guns compared were distored, if so what are the reasons therefore; and

(b) whether Doordarshan would be asked to show the eidited version to trc person whose views were to be telecast for his approval?

THE MINISTER OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND THE MINISTER OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING (SHRI H. K. L. BHAGAT): (a) Yes, Sir. In a two-part Aajkal' pro gramme on the Bofors Gun Issue produ ced by M/s. Communications Grou p.
Dejhi, interviews of several persons was recorded. One of the persons interview ed was Lt. Gen. Mayadas. Keeping in view the main thrust of the programme, i.e. selection of the gun, about 4 mi nutes' statement of Gen. Mayadas was used in $th_e$ programme. It was made amply clear by the producers of the pro gramme before and after the recording that only 4-5 minutes of his statements